

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS

A CASE STUDY OVERVIEW Pursuing Justice Through Participatory Democracy **BY VINCENT HARRIS**









Four Overview Elements Present Context, Conclusion and Evidence for:

THE INVESTIGATION THE INDICTMENT THE TRIAL and VERDICT THE SENTENCE





THE INVESTIGATION

EDUCATE ENGAGESUF





- "Varsity Blue" admission scandal
- High profile and costly misconduct scandals
- USC's entrepreneurial fundraising culture
- Mayoral aspirant Rick Caruso's emergence as Board Chair





CONCLUSION

The Investigation was terribly flawed.





U Z

- Superficial failed to interview key County or USC employees or review all evidence
- Unusual deference to USC mayoral ambition, reputation and academic politics
- Illustrative of confirmation bias no presumption of innocence





(continu

- No one from MRT's office, other Board offices or Supervisors, County contract administration staff, County Counsel, Board Secretary or County CEO's office interviewed)
- No County documents subpoenaed
- No specific understanding of budget or contracting process by key prosecution witness





EXECUTE NOT (continued)

- Information from internal campus investigation presented to USAO
- USC allowed to publicize investigation
- Caruso was acting as campus executive USC's motives suspect





Continu

- Absence of presumption of innocence for both MRT and SRT
- No consideration of MRT's record of service or constituent representation
- Prejudicial interpretation of evidence
- Propagation of inaccurate public narrative





THE INDICTMENT

EDUCATE ENGAGE





- City Hall corruption cases (Englander, Huizar, Feuer and DWP)
- MRT's unparalleled record of servant leadership
- "Me too" Movement
- Trump Era weaponized USDOJ
- 2022 mayoral election





CONCLUSION

The Indictment was based on exaggerated claims of corruption.





Z

- Public narrative reinforces stereotypes
- Overcharges defendant
- Uses complex, novel and unique interpretation honest services fraud statutes
- Timed for maximum adverse media exposure and reputational damage





Continu (continu

- Characterized as a powerful, self-interested career politician
- Uses position to exploit USC
- Causes public to question MRT's integrity





>

- Twenty counts (ultimately reduced to 19) including conspiracy, bribery, honest services mail fraud, honest service wire fraud
- Alleges exchange of official acts (i.e., "steering contracts worth millions of dollars") for USC benefits (i.e., SRT's "admission, free tuition, paid professorship and \$100k contribution to non-profit")
- "Bribery" and "Conspiracy" counts not severable (i.e., guilty on 1 count, guilty on all counts)





(continu

- Minimizes capacity of MRT to respond
- Prompts LA Times editorial board to call for MRT's resignation
- Motivates political adversaries to deny due process, presumption of innocence, revoke pay and disenfranchise constituents
- Hampers MRT's ability to finance defense





THE TRIAL





F× ш

- Novel application and interpretation of honest services fraud law
- City Council action generates public interest Public interest in the trial and scrutiny
- Public ignorance of governmental or university operations
- Nature and extent of alleged corruption heightens expectations
- Widespread and sustained public support for MRT





CONCLUSION

The Trial revealed substantial shortcomings in the evidence.





- Peremptory challenge of African American female jurors
- Unable to demonstrate receipt of personal benefits
- One contract examined and one vote scrutinized
- Failure to call any County witnesses
- Resort to confusing, boring and repetitive examination of evidence
- Prosecutorial misconduct





Impartiality of two potential African American female jurors challenged based on answers to more extensive questioning





CIDEN (continued)

- Dismissive of SRT's accomplishments and qualifications
- Dismissive of MRT's record of service as an elected and alumnus
- Unable to illustrate application by USC of a differential pattern and practice





- One contract (i.e., extension, no new appropriation, unanimously passed on consent)
- Not valued in "millions of dollars"





Continu

- No County witnesses for the prosecution
- Only County witnesses called by defense
- Key prosecution witness admitted that knowledge of County contract and process gleaned from MRT's emails and texts without context





Continu Continu

- Prosecution resorted to confusing, boring and repetitive examination of evidence (e.g., 6 prosecution witnesses in 4 days of testimony in contract to 9 defense witness in 2 days)
- Focused on SRT (i.e., allegation of unsubstantiated claims of sexual harassment as motive for corrupt intent, qualifications and dismissive of serious health condition)
- Appeal to implicit bias and "qualifications"
- Misapplication/misinterpretation of conflict of interest law regarding legal contribution to non-profit





Prosecutorial misconduct (i.e., jury instruction regarding FBI Special agent testimony regarding review of evidence and vouching)





THE VERDICT

EDUCATE ENGAGE





- Confusing case based on complicated interpretations of statutory law and evidence
- Public perception of politicians as corrupt actors who operate a rigged system that benefits only the well connected
- City Hall scandals
- Rigged system that benefits only the well connected
- Stereotypes of Black politicians
- Resources available to and coercive power of federal prosecutors





CONCLUSION

The Verdict was split and the foreperson's postverdict comments, illustrate the complexity of "honest services fraud" cases, and undercut the prosecution's exaggerated claim of corruption.





U Ζ

- Split decision; acquitted on 12 of 19 charges
- 12 counts pertained to direct benefits for financial gain required to prove "conspiracy" and "bribery"
- "Conspiracy" and "bribery" counts not severable and included all four "benefits" — "guilt" on one meant "guilt" on all.





E C D E N C H (continued)

- Jury had to convict him of something
- Jury could not believe he possessed no criminal intent (i.e, Telehealth contract beneficiaries and empowerment thrust of non-profit think tank)





Continu Continu

- MRT is an innovative servant leader
- No evidence that either MRT or SRT personally benefited
- No evidence introduced of multiple contracts worth "millions of dollars"
- Implicit bias reflected in treatment of prospective jurors, SRT's qualifications, health challenges, MRT's alumnus status and record as innovative policy maker





THE SENTENCE

EDUCATE. ENGAGE. ENG





EXT F Z

- Prosecution persists in propagating disinformation about verdict
- Widespread public and legal experts' skepticism about prosecution
- Shifting post-verdict narrative of USAO regarding role of dean ("shakedown" MRT as "mastermind" of "corrupt scheme", "self-serving", "undermines public trust in judicial process")





- City Council action and secret meeting recordings
- Sustained public attendance at trial
- Media narrative at odds with trial testimony
- Letters of support





CONCLUSION

The prosecution's retributive tone and judge's disparate punishment underscore public skepticism and suspicion regarding justice of case and prosecution's motive.





ш N Z ш >

- Continued media disinformation
- Dismissive of acquitted conduct in sentencing recommendation of 62 months (aka "Trial Tax")
- Federal Probation office recommendation of 18 months
- Separate filing of Bernard Parks' letter





- Disparate sentence compared to Huizar and DWP's Paradis
- Attribution to MRT that he was a victim in prosecution's sentencing filing
- Downplaying independent, spontaneous expressions of support for MRT
- Laphonza Butler appointment as U.S. Senator

